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Abstract

Background: The aim of the study is to draw a comparison between two appendectomy techniques of open appendectomy procedure entitled double ligation and stump inversion.

Methods: The study was designed retrospectively at two different points in time when one of these two techniques was performed in our department. At the first time period in 2008 (Group A) 166 double ligation appendectomies were performed in comparison to the latter in 2011 (Group B) where 202 stump inversion have been carried out. The main outcome measures were postoperative complications and they were assessed according to the intention to-treat analysis principle.

Results: There was no mortality and overall complication rate was similar in both groups. A total number of 60 simple wound infections treated with wound dressing. The cases of deep wound infections and abdominal abscesses underwent antibiotic treatment and four children in group B required reoperation. The only statistically significant outcome is that the period of hospital stay of group B turned out to be longer than group A.

Conclusion: Considering the main surgical principle of "the preference of the more simple technique", we believe that, in performance of an open appendectomy, the preference of a double ligation technique would be more appropriate.

Introduction

Appendectomy is one of the most common surgical treatments even in children. In literature, treatment of open appendectomy is well described and there are mainly two different techniques for the appendix stump management; simple ligation and stump inversion [1-5].

The thought of the group for stump inversion includes; open stump become the source of contamination and there would be greater risk of adhesions on the caecal region. On the other hand; authors for simple ligation supported this technique because of the fact that it is an easier version amongst the two. In literature, many studies on simple ligation versus stump inversion puts forward that there is no significant difference in between these two techniques [2,4,5].

However, there is limited publication on children regarding to the simple ligation versus stump inversion.

In our hospital both techniques are being used frequently.

In order to demonstrate which technique is the better one, we performed this study aimed at re-evaluating this topic in light of our own findings on childhood.

Materials & Method

The study was designed retrospectively at two different points in time when one of these two techniques by open appendectomy were performed in our department.

Together 386 children mean age 12.5 years were included. At the first time period 2014 (Group A) 166 double ligation appendectomy were performed in comparison 2015 (Group B) 202 stumpinversion techniques have been carried out.

Research Ethics Board approval (Application No: AN2014-0117 336/4.2) was obtained to perform the retrospective study.

The diagnosis of appendicitis was made of the history of abdominal pain, right lower quadrant guarding and tenderness on physical examination and all patients underwent abdominal sonography.

In order for the surgical technique to be a standard one, the McBurney incision was used in all patients.

On group A the appendix stump was ligated twice with vicryl. On group B appendix stump was as well ligated by the same material of vicryl and inverted with purse string suture.

Due to the fact that we are an educational hospital most of the cases were operated by residence in accompany of senior surgeons.

The postoperative care included a standardized postoperative regimen with pain treatment, bowel sounds and flatus were criterion for oral intake. The patients first started with a clear liquid diet and later on advanced to a regular diet in time of toleration.

Our criterion of hospital discharge of the patients are; an intake of normal diet, absence of pain or fever complaint, defecation and normalization of the leukocyte values.

The outcomes were assessed according to the intention-to-treat analysis principle. All patients were followed for a minimum of 6 months period in which they are invited to the clinic periodically, in two months intervals, related to concerning late complications.
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Complications such as simple and deep wound infections, abdominal abscesses and postoperative hospital stay were recorded. Spontaneous discharge of pus or discharge of pus after incision out of the wound were registered as infection. However, the simple wound infections recovered only by wound dressing were not taken under evaluation.

Deep wound infections that means infection of subcutis and subfacial region without facia detachment were verified by sonography and treated with amoxacilline + clavulanic acid and wound dressing. The children with abdominal abscesses were treated with piperacillin tazobactam and four of them have got reoperation.

Results and Discussion

The characteristics of the patients, distribution of sex and age in both groups is presented in table 1. In group A 166 and in group B 202 patients were included the study.

After a median follow up of 6 months, 60 wound complications (15.78%) had occured. Both groups were nearly equal in occurrence of post operative complications. In group A there was no any significant higher rate of complications 29.7 % vs 25.6% in group B (p=0.25).

There was no patient with failure to invert the appendicular stump, however we observed that inversion in phlegmonous appendicitis may be difficult because of the edema and inflammatory tissue structures.

Pathological examination of the appendices revealed 5.7 % appendicitis catarrhalis or chronica, 46.4% appendicitis acuta, 40.4 % appendicitis phlegmonousa and 27 patients had a perforated appendicitis (Table 3). We have seen the perforation site most often in the middle third of the appendix.

The three children out of perforated appendicitis were under the age of 5.

Table 1: Patients Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=166)</th>
<th>Group B (n=202)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>72 m (43%), 94  f (57%)</td>
<td>82 m (41%), 120  f (59%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: complications & Reinterventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=166)</th>
<th>Group B (n=202)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep wound infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Histological findings.

The complication rate between two groups was not statistically significant.

Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference in hospital stay which were 5,11 day of group A versus 3,64 day Group B (p=0,003) (Table 4).

In our opinion, to confirm the scientific explanation of this finding, further research with a higher patient number is required.

Appendicitis is the most common surgical disease even by pediatric population.

Appendectomy is catagorized as a simple operation and it is generally entrusted to residents [1].

Nevertheless; the appendectomy shall not be underestimated by leaving the potential complications of each surgical procedure aside, especially in educational institutions like ours the vitality of the standardization of the appendectomy shall be kept in mind.

One of the historical controversies of the open technique involves the management of the appendix stump following removal of the appendix. The proper management of the stump is important to prevent serious postoperative complications such as fecal contamination and peritonitis [2-5].

In the literature there are studies showing; simple ligation by open appendectomy simplifies the technical procedure, shortens the operation time and do not produces a deformation of the ceecal wall [2].

In addition to that Roeder has published that 88 % of the needles and remaining pieces of suture gave positive growths on culture media, clearly proving that the needle had penetrated one or more times the mucous membrane of the coecum during purse string suture [6].
Another subject that we would like to discuss here is the issue of whether laparoscopic appendectomy shall be the substitute for an open appendectomy. Advancements in minimal invasive surgery have resulted in application of these techniques even in children.

Regarding to the study of Namir Kathkhouda et.al there is no significant advantage over open appendectomy compared to laparoscopic appendectomy, therefore the choice of the procedure should be based on surgeon and/or patient preference [7].

We argue that, especially in educational institutions such as surgical training university clinics both methods shall be continued to be in place [8].

Conclusions

Many studies on simple ligation versus stump inversion in literature puts forward that there is no significant difference in between these two techniques [1-5].

Hence, we aimed at re-evaluating this topic in light of our own findings in childhood.

On our study regarding to the complications we did not observe any difference in between these two techniques.

In conclusion; considering the main surgical principle of “the preference of the more simple technique”, we believe that preference of double ligation technique is more appropriate while performing an open appendectomy.
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