

Original Article Open Access

Experiences and Perceptions of Preceptors and Faculty on Failing Nursing Students in Karachi, Pakistan

Mehak-e-Ali Virani^{1*}, Farhana Madhani², Shirin Rahim³, Rubina Barolia⁴

¹School of Nursing and Midwifery, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan
²Department of Nursing, Brock University, 1812 Sir Isaac Brock Way, St. Catharines, ON L2S 3A1, Canada
³School of Nursing and Midwifery, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan
⁴School of Nursing and Midwifery, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

Abstract

Background: Clinical evaluation is a critical component of nursing education, as it assesses nursing students' essential clinical competencies during their supervised clinical experiences and ensures safe and competent nursing practices. Globally, studies have revealed that nurse educators often find it challenging to fail or report students who demonstrate unsatisfactory clinical performance. These challenges arise at various levels, including student, personal, and institutional factors. In Pakistan, however, no studies have been conducted to discuss the challenges associated with failure to fail students with unsatisfactory clinical performance in nursing educational institutions. Given the significance of this issue, a study was needed to explore the perceptions and experiences of clinical educators, including faculty members and clinical preceptors, regarding this phenomenon. This study specifically aimed to understand these perceptions and experiences in the context of a private school of nursing and midwifery in Karachi, Pakistan.

Methodology: A qualitative descriptive exploratory design was used, with data collected from 12 clinical preceptors and faculty members at the private school of nursing and midwifery, Karachi, Pakistan, through semi-structured interviews. Data was analyzed using Creswell's [1] content analysis steps.

Results: Five major themes evolved from data analysis: (i) identification of unsatisfactory behaviours during clinical performance; (ii) system based challenges faced by faculty members and clinical preceptors that hinder their decision; (iii) emotional challenges faced by faculty members and clinical preceptors that hinder their decision; (iv) creating possibilities of hand-holding of students; (v) way forward for overcoming critical challenges faced by faculty members and clinical preceptors.

Conclusion: The study revealed several challenges faced by clinical educators in evaluating nursing students in clinical settings and dealing with the failure to fail students who do not meet satisfactory performance levels. It also proposes strategies to address these challenges and ensure the production of safe and competent nurses for society.

Introduction

The International Nursing Council defines nursing as a profession where people work for the promotion of health and a safe environment, prevention of illness, and collaborative care of individuals, sick or well, in all settings [2]. This promotion of health and prevention from illness also significantly improves patients' quality of life, knowledge of disease, and self-care practices [3,4]. To perform such an important role in the health care system and in the lives of people who seek care, the nursing discipline requires significant rigor, quality, and excellence in the programmes with which it prepares its workforce of professional, dedicated, and competent nurses. Both the theory and the clinical component of nursing education allow students to achieve all the essential clinical competencies during their supervised clinicals which enable them to provide safe and competent care to the patients under their care [5]. This combination of theoretical nursing education with clinical practices provides personal and professional growth to students to make them eligible to contribute their knowledge and skills to society.

Nursing educators, specifically clinical educators, including faculty members and clinical preceptors, serve as gatekeepers in the nursing profession due to their responsibilities for teaching, supervising, and evaluating nursing students [6,7]. Hence, clinical nursing educators need to excel both in the skills of teaching as well as in evaluating nursing students, to achieve the purpose of producing competent and quality nurse professionals [8].

Publication History:

Received: December 20, 2024 Accepted: January 31, 2025 Published: February 03, 2025

Keywords:

Clinical educator, Clinical evaluation, Failure to fail, Nursing students

Clinical educators have long been developing and improving their strategies to evaluate students' performance by using different tools, such as formative and summative clinical evaluation, objective structured clinical examinations, and clinical integrated maps [9,10]. These evaluation strategies help educators ensure extensive evaluation of students' clinical performance and correctly identify those who perform at less than average or inadequate levels.

However, despite comprehensive understanding of the evaluation process and having strategized evaluation with different evidenced based techniques, nurse educators find it difficult to identify and report students performing at low levels or those failing to achieve the minimum passing requirements. Moreover, some clinical instructors find it challenging to determine whether to fail students who display unsatisfactory practices in the clinical areas. The concept of being indecisive and allocating pass grades to nursing students who do not display satisfactory clinical practice is defined in literature as failure to fail [7].

*Corresponding Author: Mehak-e-Ali Virani, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

Citation: Virani M, Madhani F, Rahim S, Barolia R (2025) Experiences and Perceptions of Preceptors and Faculty on Failing Nursing Students in Karachi, Pakistan. Int J Nurs Clin Pract 12: 401. doi: https://doi.org/10.15344/2394-4978/2025/401

Copyright: © 2025 Virani et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Int J Nurs Clin Pract ISSN: 2394-4978

Page 2 of 7

There are multiple reasons for under-reporting unsatisfactory students, such as faculty members may face difficulty while interpreting the unsatisfactory behaviours of students, or they may have a different interpretation of clinical evaluation rubrics [11]. The reluctance of faculty members in assigning failing grades to unsatisfactory students could also be due to lack of institutional support, which decreases clinical educators' level of confidence and creates moral and ethical dilemmas [11,12]. Furthermore, time constraints become hurdles for clinical faculty members in working with such students due to increased workload and other commitments such as administrative duties, academic responsibilities and mentorship roles [13]. Hence, for various reasons, they allow students to pass clinical courses when their competency is questionable.

Some studies have explored the perceptions of clinical preceptors and faculty members regarding the failure to fail students in nursing programs [14]. Others have examined the views of nursing students on the learning environment during clinical placements [15,16]. However, there is limited literature available that explains the phenomenon of failure to fail within the Pakistani context.

Internationally, some studies have reported multiple factors influencing the decision of assessors while assigning grades to students in their clinical courses, which include, lack of institutional support, fear of receiving a poor evaluation from students, increased workload, and time consumption [14,17,18]. In Pakistan, some studies have identified students' perceptions regarding teachinglearning strategies in the clinical environment [16,19,20]. However, as per the researcher's knowledge, in the nursing education system of Pakistan, the phenomenon of failure to fail and the perceptions and experiences of clinical educators on this issue have not been studied yet. Hence, there was a need to examine if the issue of failure to fail nursing students in their clinical courses exists in the nursing educational system of Pakistan and if it exists then what are its reasons and how it can be corrected. The study aimed to identify the challenges local clinical educators face in assigning failing grades to students and examine how these challenges perpetuate a culture of failure to fail within nursing education, contributing valuable insights to improving assessment practices and ensuring the development of competent nursing professionals.

The study research question is:

What are the perceptions and experiences of clinical preceptors and faculty members about failure to fail undergraduate nursing students displaying unsatisfactory practices in their clinical at a private school of nursing and midwifery in Karachi, Pakistan?

Methodology

The qualitative descriptive-exploratory design was chosen as it is ideal for exploring subjective experiences, beliefs, and attitudes, aligning with this study's aim. This approach effectively addresses the complex and sensitive issue of "failure to fail" in nursing education by offering flexibility and depth in capturing participants' perspectives. It is particularly suited for context-specific research like this study in Karachi, Pakistan [21].

The study was conducted at the private nursing school Karachi, Pakistan. The purposive sampling technique was utilized to identify participants who could provide detailed information about the concept.

A total of 12 participants were recruited in the study, based on data saturation. The data collection was stopped after meaningful information had been attained [22,23]. All full-time and part-time nursing faculty members and clinical preceptors who possessed at least one year of experience of teaching one or more clinical courses to undergraduate nursing students and gave voluntary verbal and written consent to participate in the study were included.

After obtaining approval from the Ethical Review Committee of the institution (ERC), a list of clinical preceptors and faculty members was obtained from the clinical practice office of the private nursing school. Subsequently, the clinical preceptors and faculty members who fulfilled the study inclusion criteria, were approached through electronic mails, and an invitation letter was given by the researcher. The study was conducted, after approval from the (ERC), from January 2020 to September 2020. The data was collected through face to face semi-structured in-depth interviews.

An interview guide was developed by the researcher. The interview guide was developed based on the study's research question, focusing on the perceptions of faculty and clinical preceptors regarding failure to fail students. It included open-ended questions designed to explore participants' experiences and views. The guide was structured with opening questions, core questions, probing questions, and concluding questions to gather comprehensive insights. The guide was refined using existing literature [21,24] and pilot testing to ensure clarity and relevance, with ethical considerations ensuring neutral, nonjudgmental questions. Additionally, a demographic questionnaire also developed which helped in ensuring a diverse selection of participants, in order to have rich data on the phenomenon of interest. The researcher conducted in-depth interviews, and each interview lasted for 60-70 minutes. These in-depth interviews allowed faculty members and clinical preceptors to discuss and reflect on the phenomenon of interest. The interviews were conducted in English and were audio-recorded for analysis. Simultaneously, note-taking was maintained to capture non-verbal clues that could not be revealed in audio recordings. Interviews were conducted in the offices of the faculty members/clinical preceptors, and timings were decided as per the feasibility of the researcher and participants to ensure their availability for the interviews. Initially, the interview guide was pilot tested on two faculty members from the sample to ensure that questions were clear, logical, and understandable by the participants. These pilot interviews were included in data analysis and the sample size of the study.

To maintain anonymity throughout the study, each participant was assigned an identification number. Privacy and confidentiality were upheld by securing hard copies of transcripts and consent forms in a locked cupboard, while soft copies were stored in password-protected files accessible only to the researcher, supervisor, co-supervisor, and committee members.

In accordance with institutional policy, all audiotaped files will be discarded. Autonomy was respected by ensuring participants' voluntary involvement and the protection of their personal information.

Data analysis

The method of data analysis employed in this study was content analysis, following Creswell's [1] guidelines. Content analysis was chosen because it provides a structured approach to interpreting and

Int J Nurs Clin Pract ISSN: 2394-4978 categorizing qualitative data, allowing for a deeper understanding of patterns and themes. During the interviews, data was audio-recorded, and the recordings were then transcribed and organized as part of the data analysis process.

To ensure anonymity and confidentiality, each participant was assigned a unique identification number (ID#). This helped in maintaining privacy throughout the study. The interviews were transcribed in English, and the transcriptions were stored as text-based data in a Word document. Since the interviews were conducted in English, there was no need for translation. Each transcription was carefully read multiple times to identify key concepts and ensure a comprehensive understanding of the data.

The transcriptions were divided into five categories: identification numbers of participants, their transcriptions, codes, categories, and themes. Data with similar meanings were grouped under common codes. These codes were then analyzed and merged into broader categories, allowing for a clearer understanding of the main findings. Each category was supported by relevant participant quotes extracted from the transcriptions, providing concrete evidence for the analysis.

Following this, similar categories were combined to form overarching themes. These themes were analyzed in relation to recent literature to ensure the findings were grounded in existing research. To enhance the robustness of the analysis, the supervisor, cosupervisor, and committee members were consulted for their input, particularly in comparing the data with the literature and drawing meaningful interpretations. Finally, the data was visually represented in a diagrammatic format, which helped in effectively illustrating the study's findings.

Results

The study included 12 participants, consisting of faculty members who teach both theoretical and clinical courses, as well as clinical preceptors who focus on teaching clinical practice. Participants, teaching in different undergraduate programmes, and several clinical courses, in different years, were recruited to get diverse data.

The overall descriptive analysis of the demographic data of the participants is presented in table 1.

Themes and categories from the qualitative study findings is presented in table 2.

$Theme\ two-category\ one\ (Evaluation\ tool\ is\ unclear):$

Seven of the 12 participants expressed that observing and evaluating in the clinical setting is subjective. Further, students are evaluated on several clinical and professional skills, based on pass or fail. This criterion of evaluation creates subjectivity and biasness among clinical educators. As one of the participants stated:

"First of all it is very difficult to fail the student in the clinical area because it is highly subjective" (ID#015).

This quotation reflects the participant's belief that assessing students in the clinical setting is challenging due to the subjective nature of evaluations. The participant suggests that clinical assessments are influenced by personal judgment, making it difficult to assign failing grades.

Demographic Variables	Number of Participants (n)	Percentages
Gender	•	
Male	0	0%
Female	12	100%
Academic Qualification	,	
MScN	10	83%
PHD	2	17%
Designation		
Clinical instructor	1	8%
Assistant professor	4	33%
Senior Instructor	5	42%
Clinical preceptor	2	17%
Years of Experience as a Theory Tea	cher	
1-10	6	50%
11-20	5	42%
≥ 21	1	8%
Years of Experience as a Clinical Te	acher	
1-10	6	50%
11-20	6	50%
Currently Working		
Part-Time	4	33%
Full-Time	8	67%
Clinical Educators in Undergraduat	te Programs	
BScN	6	50%
Post RN (BScN)	1	8%
Post RM (BScM)	1	8%
More than one	4	33%
Clinical Educators in clinical course	es	
Mental Health Nursing	2	17%
Adult Health Nursing	6	50%
Pediatrics Health Nursing	1	8%
Community Health Nursing	1	8%
Teaching more than one course	2	17%

Table 1: Demographic variables.

Furthermore, some participants also shared the need for and importance of having specific rubrics for evaluating students' clinical performances. Unavailability of such rubrics creates difficulty in deciding and assigning failing grades to students. As one clinical educator reported:

The clinical evaluation criteria have only four components; the Likert, as pass, fail, not applicable, and incomplete. So, the proper rubric that what we think is pass and what we think is fail, incomplete, and not applicable is not being defined. Currently, there is no rubric, it's a faculty's decision, that's the limitation that why we are not failing. There is no black and white thing written, there is no rubric to it. (ID#011)

Themes	Categories	
Identification of unsatisfactory behaviour during clinical performance	Students' attributes that lead to unsatisfactory practices	
	Unsatisfactory practices.	
System based challenges faced by faculty members and clinical preceptors that hinder their decision.	Evaluation tool is unclear.	
	Nursing is not the first choice of students.	
	Difficulty in communicating with students of different years of study.	
Emotional challenges faced by faculty members and clinical preceptors that hinder their decision.	Feeling of guilt.	
	Fear of getting bad evaluation from students.	
	Family reaction on failing their children.	
	Students' own reactions on Failure	
Creating possibilities of hand holding of students.	Self-reflection on the process of evaluation.	
	Timely feedback provision to students.	
	Working with students on their action plan for performance improvement	
Way forward for overcoming critical challenges faced by the faculty members and clinical preceptors.	Seeking support from teachers' community of practice.	
	Revamping the evaluation process for clear application	
	Strengthening the system for supporting teachers	
	Recognizing clinical educators' own vision of self and professional responsibilities.	

Theme two-category two (Nursing is not the first choice of students):

Participants noted that nursing is often seen as a last-choice career, with few students choosing it due to its lower societal status. One participant highlighted this as a challenge for clinical educators, who, as expressed by participant ID #004, are reluctant to fail students "because they fear it will discourage people from entering the profession."

Theme three-category one (Feeling of guilt):

Many study participants cited a feeling of guilt in the process of failing an unsatisfactory student in their clinical placements. A participant expressed a feeling of guilt at not provided proper support to enhance a student's capability. This let to hesitation in assigning a failing grade to the students in the clinical settings.

There are two things that I will face, first is my personal feeling, because if he or she is going to fail at the end of our clinical then for what purpose was I there? Like you know I will feel guilty about it, that, why did not I make that particular student capable to pass at the end of the duration or the clinical rotation? (ID # 009)

Another participant stated:

"For me if somebody is failing means I have failed" (ID#007)

Theme three-category two (Fear of getting bad evaluation from students):

Some of the study participants stated that they might get negative evaluation from students if they fail them. Clinical educators may not consider to fail students in clinical settings to prevent harming their good reputation among student body which may help them to

get nominated for best teacher award. Moreover, the participants also mentioned that those clinical educators who evaluated the students strictly were considered as strict teachers and were disliked by most of the students. As one faculty member reported:

If we at all fail, and this happens very commonly, very commonly it happens that Oh we are the most disliked faculty. She likes to fail, she doesn't like me that's why, all those kinds of statements we hear from the students. In our evaluations, you know, we get to know, so clearly, that we are so bad, the worst faculty in the world (making sad face). (ID#006)

Another participant, while stating her feelings, said:

So I become you know someone highlighted, this teacher is very strict and students avoid that particular teacher. So that's what I personally faced and I was feeling very bad. Because I am very strict with my students, with the passage of time I got that feedback that I should be a bit lenient, and that leniency was at the end meant that I should not be very strict in assessing. (ID # 007)

Theme three-category three (Family reaction on failing their children):

The participants also identified that failing a student in a clinical placement can lead to significant emotional and financial burdens on students and their families. As one faculty member pointed out,

Students probably, you know, will get punishments when they go home. If they say I failed the exam, how will the mother react, how will the father react? How will the in-laws react? How will the friends react? So that is emotionally challenging and, after all, obviously, the longer the time the student is there in the institution the more finance is required. (ID#006)

Page 5 of 7

Theme three-category four (Students' own reactions on Failure):

A few of the participants found it difficult to fail unsatisfactory students because of the students' reactions. Clinical educators face disrespect as students' response to failing them in the clinical areas. As depicted in conversation with one of the participants:

"The hatred I can see in his eyes. He wishes every faculty, but he does not wish me (2 sec. pause). He is right in a way that I have delayed his convocation for a year, otherwise, you become blind and go away" (ID#008).

Additionally, a participant who was trying to help a student achieve clinical learning outcomes, verbalized about the threatening attitude of the student.

A student was not performing well, and I gave him feedback, but he was not changing, so I put him on a learning plan (pointing towards herself). The student perceived this negatively, but it is like, you know, in response I received nonverbal clues, I was very fearful and very anxious. (ID# 014)

Discussion

The participants in the research study felt that due to inappropriate evaluation tools they faced difficulty in evaluating unsatisfactory students. They identified subjectivity in the evaluation tools while evaluating students on clinical placements [25]. Moreover, the study participants found that subjectivity in the evaluation forms increases the risk of evaluators' interpretation, resulting in, under-reporting of correct observations of unsatisfactory students. This can be related to the finding of DeBrew and Lewallen [26], that clinical educators found that the number of times the students had been evaluated was less defined in the evaluation tools, which made it further challenging for evaluators to assess the unsatisfactory behaviours of students. Further, the participants articulated that there was no detailed rubric for evaluating clinical performances of students, so, the clinical educators were unable to quantify students' performances. Likewise, findings by Helminen et al. [27] show that evaluators feel confident while using clear assessment tools for assessing students on clinical, and this also reduces the risk of subjectivity. This suggests that unclear evaluation processes and non-availability of a clear specific rubric makes it challenging for assessors to rate students' performances, which develops ambiguity in assessing unsatisfactory students.

Considering the perceived views of society, regarding nursing as a less privileged profession, clinical educators were reluctant in assigning a failing grade to unsatisfactory students due to the fear that highly stringent evaluation and failing more students may result in further decrease in number of students selecting in this profession. Whereas some participants identified that students considered nursing as a last choice for higher studies and when students with unsatisfactory behaviours are promoted in the programme, this can promote a negative image of the profession. As per the researcher's knowledge, these findings are unique for the phenomenon of failing to fail nursing students in the clinical courses. This finding is relevant to the context, because, still, nursing is not considered as a preferred profession by students. Hence, this is a new knowledge added to the literature, by this study.

Furthermore, consistent with the findings of Luhanga et al. [5], clinical evaluators faced difficulty in communicating failing grades to students, based on the year of the study programme. The participants

mentioned that communicating failing grades to students in the initial year of the programme was too early hence they prefer, giving students constructive feedback and time to improve their competence in clinical areas in future. However, some participants articulated that in the initial years students are assessed on basic skills and knowledge, and if they are unable to show the required competency level, then faculty members must fail such students. Studies have mentioned that dealing with unsatisfactory students and assigning failing grades in the early year of the programme is important to identify suitable students for the programme [5,6,28].

On the other hand, study participants also mentioned that they lacked the courage to communicate the failing grade to unsatisfactory students in the final year of the programme. The same has been identified by Hunt et al. [23], in that participants found it difficult to recognize and to take the responsibility of failing unsatisfactory students in the final years of the programme, when they are near to their graduation. In correspondence with this, in the findings of Docherty and Dieckmann [29], the participants also highlighted that the clinical evaluation process was not specified according to the year of the programme, so it made it difficult for the assessors to assign failing grades, specifically to the standards in their final year of study [29]. On the other hand, clinical educators should realize that students in their final year are near to the completion of their studies and are expected to have advanced skills and knowledge of clinical experiences to which they were exposed [17]. This suggests that while students are assessed in the initial years of the programme on basic skills, in advanced years they are evaluated on more complex concepts. Thus, while assessing students regardless of the year of the programme, it is essential to identify unsatisfactory students and take needful steps to make them more competitive for their profession.

While discussing the emotional challenges, the findings of this study are consistent with the results of the study conducted by Black, Curzio, and Terry [30] which identified that clinical educators felt self-responsible, had a sense of guilt, and they questioned their competencies when deciding to fail students in their clinical placements. Moreover, this present study also identified that participants had the perception of self-failure, and they doubted their own judgment in assessing and supporting students in clinical placements. This stance is supported by several studies, in which assessors had a feeling of personal failure, guilt, and self-blame while failing unsatisfactory students [30-32,28,17].

Moreover, the clinical educators in this study mentioned that they had a fear of receiving a bad evaluation from students, if they did not pass them in their clinical settings. In line with Pratt et al. [32], this study also found that clinical educators had a fear of getting negative ratings from students, which led them to pass students instead of standing firmly on their decision of failing them. This was consistent with the findings of Couper [33], where the participants felt bad for students while assigning failing grades to them and had a fear of getting a bad evaluation from students. Although, it was not often mentioned in the present study, literature has identified that many of the clinical faculty members had a close teacher student relationship with students, thus they believed that failing students may create an unhealthy relationship with them in the future [28]. This suggest that clinical educators considering negative effects on self-evaluation do not attempt to fail students with unsatisfactory performance according to their knowledge and competency. Hence, this is an eye opener for the system, to improve their evaluation practices for

Int J Nurs Clin Pract ISSN: 2394-4978

Page 6 of 7

producing competent nurses. As per the researcher's knowledge, the significant finding in this study was that faculty members considered the financial burden upon students and their families as a preventive factor and as a consequence, so, they do not declare failing grades to students on their unsatisfactory practices. Additionally, this study reported a unique finding that clinical educators were also unable to fail students because, as a result of failure, they would get punishments at home.

Conclusion

The study found that the decision to fail nursing students in the clinical setting is challenging. Despite recognizing unsatisfactory practices, educators face barriers in assigning failing grades due to societal perceptions and the fear of discouraging students. To address this, it is recommended that nursing programs provide faculty with training on objective, evidence-based assessments and clear guidelines for making difficult decisions. Strengthening the clinical evaluation process will ensure fairer assessments and help produce more competent nurses. Improving clinical evaluations will enhance nursing education and address the profession's challenges, ensuring that only adequately prepared students enter the workforce.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study include its novelty, as it is the first of its kind in Pakistan to explore the perceptions and experiences of faculty members and clinical preceptors regarding the challenge of failing nursing students in clinical courses. It also identifies key areas for improvement in the clinical evaluation system to help produce competent nurses. Additionally, the use of in-depth interviews conducted by the primary researcher provided valuable insights into the phenomenon under investigation.

However, the study also has limitations. It was conducted in only one nursing educational institution, which means the findings cannot be generalized to other nursing programs or settings in Pakistan.

Competing Interests

The author have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Authors Contributions

The primary author conducted the research, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. Dr. Farhana Madhani and Ms. Shirin Rahim provided critical feedback and guidance throughout the process. Dr. Rubina Barolia offered valuable insights and supervision. All contributors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their gratitude to all the participants who took part in the study.

References

- Creswell JW (2018) Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. London: Sage Publications.
- Bartz CC (2010) International Council of Nurses and person-centered care. Int J Integr Care 10: e010.

- Propp KM, Apker J, Zabava Ford WS, Wallace N, Serbenski M, et al. (2010) Meeting the complex needs of the health care team: identification of nurse team communication practices perceived to enhance patient outcomes. Qual Health Res 20: 15-28.
- Dudek N, Dojeiji S (2014) Twelve tips for completing quality in-training evaluation reports. Medical teacher 36: 1038-1042.
- Nehrir B, Vanaki Z, Mokhtari Nouri J, Khademolhosseini SM, Ebadi A (2016) Competency in nursing students: A systematic review. International Journal of Travel Medicine and Global Health 4: 3-11.
- Luhanga F, Koren I, Yonge O, Myrick F (2014) Strategies for managing unsafe precepted nursing students: A nursing faculty perspective. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice 4: 116.
- Hughes LJ, Mitchell M, Johnston AN (2016) 'Failure to fail'in nursing—A catch phrase or a real issue? A systematic integrative literature review. Nurse education in practice 20: 54-63.
- Grove SK, Gray JR, Faan, PR (2019) Understanding Nursing Research: First South Asia Edition, E-Book: Building an Evidence-Based Practice. Elsevier India
- Kavanagh JM, Szweda C (2017) A crisis in competency: The strategic and ethical imperative to assessing new graduate nurses' clinical reasoning. Nursing Education Perspectives 38: 57-62.
- Vinales JJ (2015) Exploring failure to fail in pre-registration nursing. Br J Nurs 24: 284-288.
- Docherty A (2018) Failing to fail in Undergraduate Nursing: Understanding the phenomenon. Nurs Educ Perspect 39: 335-342.
- Prichard SA, Ward-Smith P (2017) A concept analysis of "Reluctance to fail". Journal of Nursing Education and Practice 7: 80-85.
- 13. Larocque S, Luhanga FL (2013) Exploring the issue of failure to fail in a nursing program. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh 10: 115-122.
- Hauge KW, Bakken H, Brask OD, Gutteberg A, Malones BD, Ulvund I (2019) Are Norwegian mentors failing to fail nursing students?. Nurse education in practice 36: 64-70.
- Doyle K, Sainsbury K, Cleary S, Parkinson L, Vindigni D, et al. (2017) Happy to help/happy to be here: Identifying components of successful clinical placements for undergraduate nursing students. Nurse Edu Today 49: 27-32.
- Graham CL, Phillips SM, Newman SD, Atz TW (2016) Baccalaureate minority nursing students perceived barriers and facilitators to clinical education practices: An integrative review. Nurs Educ Perspect 37: 130-137.
- 17. Brown L, Douglas V, Garrity J, Shepherd CK (2012) What influences mentors to pass or fail students. Nurs Manag (Harrow) 19: 21.
- Luhanga FL, Larocque S, MacEwan L, Yovita N, Danyluk P (2014) Exploring the issue of failure to fail in professional education programs: A multidisciplinary study. Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice 11: 17-41.
- Khan BA, Ali F, Vazir N, Barolia R, Rehan S (2012) Students' perceptions of clinical teaching and learning strategies: A Pakistani perspective. Nurse Educ Today 32: 85-90.
- Victor G, Ishtiaq M, Iqbal N (2016) Perception of clinical environment Among nursing students of a private college of nursing in Pakistan. Medical Channel 22: 33.
- Bradshaw C, Atkinson S, Doody O (2017) Employing a qualitative description approach in health care research. Global Qualitative Nursing Research 4: 1-8.
- Sandelowski M (1995) Sample size in qualitative research. Res Nurs Health 18: 179-183.
- Fusch PI, Ness LR (2015) Are we there yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. The qualitative report 20: 1408-1416.
- Hunt LA, McGee P, Gutteridge R, Hughes M (2016) Failing securely: The processes and support which underpin English nurse mentors' assessment decisions regarding under-performing students. Nurse Educ Today 39: 7-12.
- Adkins D, Aucoin J (2021) Failure to fail Factors affecting faculty decisions to pass underperforming nursing students in the clinical setting: A quantitative study. Nurse Educ Pract 58: 103259.
- DeBrew JK, Lewallen LP (2014) To pass or to fail? Understanding the factors considered by faculty in the clinical evaluation of nursing students. Nurse Educ Today 34: 631-636.
- Helminen K, Tossavainen K, Turunen H (2014) Assessing clinical practice of student nurses: Views of teachers, mentors and students. Nurse Educ Today 34: 1161-1166.

Citation: Virani M, Madhani F, Rahim S, Barolia R (2025) Experiences and Perceptions of Preceptors and Faculty on Failing Nursing Students in Karachi, Pakistan. Int J Nurs Clin Pract 12: 401. doi: https://doi.org/10.15344/2394-4978/2025/401

Page 7 of 7

- Yepes-Rios M., Dudek N, Duboyce R, Curtis J, Allard RJ, et al. (2016) The failure to fail underperforming trainees in health professions education: A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 42. Medical Teacher 38: 1092-1099.
- Docherty A, Dieckmann N (2015) Is there evidence of failing to fail in our schools of nursing?. Nurs Educ Perspect 36: 226-231.
- 30. Black S, Curzio J, Terry L (2014) Failing a student nurse: A new horizon of moral courage. Nurs Ethics 21: 224-238.
- David TJ, Ellson S (20020) Is lack of integrity a useful concept when dealing with health and social care pre-registration student fitness to practise cases? Med Leg J 17:50-54.
- 32. Pratt M, Martin L, Mohide A, Black M (2013) A descriptive analysis of the impact of moral distress on the evaluation of unsatisfactory nursing students. Nurs Forum 48: 231-239.
- 33. Couper J (2018) The struggle is real: Investigating the challenge of assigning a failing clinical grade. Nurs Educ Perspect 39: 132-138.

Int J Nurs Clin Pract
IJNCP, an open access journal
ISSN: 2394-4978
Volume 12. 2025. 401