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Abstract

Background: Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing Instrument (TCCNI) was developed by Rozzano Locsin (2013) to determine the expression of “technological competency” as caring in nursing among practicing nurses. While the TCCNI was translated into other languages, no instrument measuring the ‘technological competency as caring in nursing’ among Japanese nurses was developed and tested. Considering culture and social background influencing measurement of the phenomena, Kato et al. (2016) re-envisioned the TCCNI to focus on caring behaviors of nurses in acute care settings. The Perceived Inventory of Technological Competency of Caring in Nursing (PITCCN) was developed.

Aim: The aim of this study is to perform comparative examination between the PITCCN and the TCCNI.

Methods: The authors developed the Japanese version of the TCCNI from its original instrument subjecting this to reverse translation using a professional English-to-Japanese-to-English translator who clearly confirmed the accuracy of the translations. In this study, the contents of the item configurations were analyzed and discussed regarding instruments, and the summary of the similarities and differences among researchers who had been involved in the research of caring. The difference between the item configuration of PITCCN and TCCNI was clearly illustrated by a comparative table, and in the case where there was no corresponding item, it was left blank.

Results: The commonality between the TCCNI and PITCCN was the holistic focus on patients using information obtained through technologies. Different question items were included to provide high-quality outcomes for the PITCCN.

Conclusion: Considered useful in order to perform high quality practice in using technology, and to provide high quality nursing. Measured Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing might be able to utilizing for in-service education of hospitals or formalized education in nursing universities.

Aims and Objectives

Since Mayeroff (1971) describe “caring” in his book [1], caring has attracted attention in the discipline of nursing as evidenced by various educational. Research, and practice engagements and expanding caring science perspectives.

The dynamic essence of nursing as caring, unfortunately, has led to different terms such as care, care-giving, care-receiving, care-cure, nursing care, etc. Oftentimes, these terms are used interchangeably which promotes confusion, thereby acknowledging the concept of care as one of the least understood aspects of nursing [2].

Care is a powerful and dynamic force towards understanding the totality of human behavior in health and illness. Action modes related to care which are culturally-based and maintained influence beneficial health outcomes. Care needs to be understood and actualized in diverse and specific cultural contexts [3]. Leininger [4] holds that culture is the broadest, most comprehensive, holistic and universal feature of human beings and care is predicted to be embedded in culture.

In Japan, Sato et al. [5] described the key words forming the core of caring as “self-actualization, self-development, mindfulness, empathy and human relationship.” However, technological competency as caring in nursing presents the link between technology and caring in nursing as coexisting harmoniously in the moment (Locsin, 2005, p. 6). Caring is an important and indispensable concept [6] in nursing; however, it is also known as difficult for non-nurses to understand what its nature of being is [7]. Katsuhara [8] also declared that in the current situation, it is hard to understand what are nurse specialists, and their practice scope. Therefore, if the actions and thoughts such as those of caring expressions by nurses are visualized and measured, it may be quite easier for others to recognize, clarify, and realize expert nursing practice.

Watson [9] has provided the essential research tools for assessing and measuring caring for those in the nursing professions. These
measurements address quality of care, patient, client, and nurse perceptions of caring, and caring behaviors, abilities, and its efficacy. Two of these instruments are the Caring Assessment Report Evaluation Q-sort (CARE-Q) [10], and the Caring Behaviors Inventory (CBI) [11] introduced as measurements for evaluating the recognition of nurses' caring behavior. In addition, although not published in this book, another instrument that measures caring in nursing, specifically the expression of technological competency as caring is Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing Instrument (TCCNI) [12-14]. Even with this recent instrument, none exists that relates to technological competency as caring in nursing considering the Japanese culture and social background. For this critical sociopolitically and culturally-based reason, Kato et al. [15] focused their attention on developing and testing technological caring behaviors of nurses in acute care nursing by using the Perceived Inventory of Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing (PITCCN) in the intensive care unit.

The purpose of this study was to compare the question items of the TCCNI with the PITCCN with the aim of developing a reliable instrument to measure Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing of nurses in Japan.

**Methods**

**The PITCCN and the TCCNI**

As the PITCCN was developed using the Japanese language, the authors translated it into English. The Cronbach’s alpha of all items of the TCCNI outside of Japan was 0.81-0.87 [13]. Meanwhile, the Cronbach’s alpha of all items of the PITCCN with 300 Japanese nurses responding was 0.89 indicating and confirming high internal consistency [15]. There are negatively worded items (Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, and Q22) in the PITCCN, however the TCCNI did not have.

**Analysis method**

The authors developed the Japanese version of the TCCNI from its original instrument subjecting this to reverse translation using a professional English-to-Japanese-to-English translator who clearly confirmed the accuracy of the translations [16]. The contents of the item configurations were analyzed and discussed regarding instruments, and the summary of the similarities and differences among researchers who had been involved in the research of caring.

The difference between the item configuration of PITCCN and TCCNI was clearly illustrated by a comparative, and in the case where there was no corresponding item, it was left blank.

**Ethical Considerations**

This research study was approved by the Tokushima University Hospital Ethics Board (No. 2914). Furthermore, source of TCCNI [13] and PITCCN [15] were shown.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the results of comparing the 25 items of the TCCNI [13] and the 23 items of the PITCCN [15]. However, four of the 23 items of the PITCCN did not match with the TCCNI (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Although technology is not a new concept within nursing, Locsin (2001) has made it clear that technology and techniques are somewhat different, in that nurses have always used techniques and technologies as tools in meaningful ways to achieve valued ends.

The theory of Technological Competency as Caring in Nursing (TCCN) was developed in 1999 initially as a theoretical essay published in the Image: Journal of Nursing Scholarship. Subsequent theoretical developments were based on Boykin & Schoenofer’s (2001) theory of Nursing as Caring from which assumptions structuring the TCCN was based, such as of persons’ wholeness in the moment and of nursing as a discipline and a professional practice. The description of caring persons and the practice process of knowing persons as caring were founded on their theory as well. In Boykin & Schoenofer’s theory, the nurse accepts the person cared for at face value “in the moment”. Nursing activities are not directed at changing the patient to meet the goals set by the nurse, but rather towards understanding that the patient is unfolding while living the meaning of his or her own life.

The TCCNI [13] items were developed based on the following five assumptions: (1) Persons are caring by virtue of their humanness (Boykin & Schoenofer, 2001), (2) Persons are whole and complete in the moment (Boykin & Schoenofer, 2001), (3) Knowing person is a process of nursing (Locsin, 2005), (4) Technology is used to know the persons as whole in the moment (Locsin, 2005), and (5) Nursing is a discipline and a profession (Boykin & Schoenofer, 2001). In Locsin’s theory nurses are expected to understand the patients whom they are caring for, and that caring should focus on how they dare to live their own lives fully at every moment with their dreams and hopes. As a basic idea of the TCCN, knowing persons as caring is appreciated as a process of nursing, and that humans are perfect and integrated beings at every moment. Nurses are expected to understand and support their patients and their families by making the best use of technology. Technology for medical treatment and nursing is an element of caring and that nurses who can make good use of technology are highly respected in their abilities of caring.

On the other hand, the PITCCN was developed based on Locsin’s middle range theory of the TCCN [17]. The central concept of PITCCN was influenced by patterns of knowing in nursing as identified and described by Carper (1978). These are empirics, personal, ethics, and aesthetics [18]. In addition, PITCCN has assumed empirical knowing as a process to understand persons using technologies contributing to the scientific knowledge to support nursing interventions. The PITCCN is constituted four factors: (1) Training of nurses to provide optimal care, (2) Empirical knowledge and whole human knowing, (3) Utilization of information obtained from technology and continuous knowing, and (4) Intentional and ethical nursing of person.

TCCNI and PITCCN had many similar points particularly on knowing persons as caring. In the TCCNI, question No. 19 (Knowing persons and the practice process of knowing persons as caring were founded on their theory as well. In Boykin & Schoenofer’s theory, the nurse accepts the person cared for at face value “in the moment”). It was considered that questions in the PITCCN numbers Q 8, 9, 12, 13, and 14 corresponded to it.

As for the process of knowing persons, Boykin & Schoenofer (2001) [19] stated that “there is no lack, failure, or inadequacy, which is to be corrected through nursing…. Persons are whole, complete and caring in the moment” (p. 12). The act of caring between the nurse and the one nursed improves personhood and establishes the hopes, dreams, and aspirations towards living the meaning of one’s own life.
### Table 1. Comparison between the 25 items of TCCNI and 23 items of PITCCN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCCNI</th>
<th>PITCCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nursing, an important part of healthcare, focuses on human caring.</td>
<td>Q1. Nurses assess patient’s condition from information acquired using technology (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Technology assists nurses in knowing the “who” and “what” of persons.</td>
<td>Q2. Nurses understand the condition of their patients based on information acquired from technology. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The outcome of nursing is healing – saving lives and increasing a sense of self.</td>
<td>Q3. Nurses behave in ways to gain the trust of patients. (Aesthetic knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Caring is engaging in compassion, physical presence, comforting and respecting the whole person.</td>
<td>Q5. Nurses encourage patients by touching their body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Technology and caring help to build patient self-worth when used without bias.</td>
<td>Q6. Nurses know the whole patient. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Knowing the “what” and “who” about a patient means to appreciate the patient as more than his/her physical parts.</td>
<td>Q7. Nurses empathize with what patients are experiencing. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Nursing is a unique field of knowledge, skills, and caring abilities.</td>
<td>Q8. Nurses support patients in order to fulfill patients’ hopes and desires. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Caring in nursing is listening to, doing with, and being with the patient.</td>
<td>Q9. Nurses respect patients as unique individuals. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Nurses need to balance the demands of using machine technologies competently with caring in nursing.</td>
<td>Q10. Nurses respect patients’ personal hopes and dreams, which may change from one moment to the next.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Caring means knowing the person’s physical self and his/her emotional conditions in a particular moment.</td>
<td>Q11. Nurses use knowledge of anatomy and physiology. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Nurses must include patients in designing care plans to ensure accuracy and completeness of their care.</td>
<td>Q12. Nurses use knowledge of latest clinical pharmacology. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Technological competence is the proficient use of machines within a caring point of view.</td>
<td>Q13. Nurses use knowledge of well-versed in the state-of-the-art of medical devices in their department. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Nurses must respect patients’ personal hopes and dreams, which may change from one moment to the next.</td>
<td>Q14. Nurses use knowledge of well-versed in the state-of-the-art of medical devices in their department. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Nurses need to practice nursing within a caring perspective in their assessment and interpretation of health care data.</td>
<td>Q15. Nurses support patients in order to fulfill patients’ hopes and desires. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Nurses need to value patients as knowledgeable about their own selves and their care.</td>
<td>Q16. Nurses practice like growing up as nurse. (Aesthetic knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Nurses relate with their patients to create a shared sense of safety and security</td>
<td>Q17. Nurses respect patients as unique individuals. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Competent nurses execute and follow up on tasks and emotions and use creativity in meeting/exceeding patient needs.</td>
<td>Q18. Nurses respect patients as unique individuals. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Knowing patients involves respecting the person as whole and complete in each moment.</td>
<td>Q19. Even if patients lose their physical functions, nurses caring them with an unchanged attitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. The competent nurse anticipates patient needs while respecting all belief systems and focusing on patient healing.</td>
<td>Q20. Nurses attempt to communicate with unconscious patients for the purpose of resuscitating them, intentionally. (Ethical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Caring in nursing occurs in shared situations of teaching and learning between nurses, patients, and family members.</td>
<td>Q21. Nurses support patients in order to fulfill patients’ hopes and desires. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Caring in nursing serves to reduce vulnerability and other stresses/anxiety inherent in nurse-patient relationships.</td>
<td>Q22. Nurses use knowledge of latest clinical pharmacology. (Empirical knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Selfless commitment to patients’ needs, hopes, and dreams is caring.</td>
<td>Q23. Nurses communicate their learned experiences of caring for patients with their colleagues and nursing students, and share with them. (Aesthetic knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. As an expression of caring in nursing, technological competence is using many ways of knowing so that the nurse and the patient can know each other.</td>
<td>Q24. Nurses respect patients as unique individuals. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Nurses use technology and human touch together in order to relate to their patients with true presence and caring intentions.</td>
<td>Q25. Nurses respect patients as unique individuals. (Personal knowing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TCCNI: Technological Competency Caring in Nursing Instrument, PITCCN: Perceived Inventory of Technological competency of caring in nursing. The Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, and Q22 were in Inverted scale in the PITCCN. Negatively worded items had inverted values.
Many patients who have lost part of their physical functions and those who are unconscious are admitted into ICUs in which the PITCCN is the target instrument for measurement. This is the very reason for preparing the content of PITCCN so that it can confirm whether or not the patients understood persons as irreducible and unpredictable and whether or not their dignity is protected and ethically appreciated. Also, in the TCCNI question numbers, 2, 7, 11, it seemed that these questions also corresponded with the contents of PITCCN Q 1, 2, 7, and 10.

However, in the TCCNI, there is a question of "Knowing the "what" and "who" about a patient", that means to appreciate the patient as more than his/her physical condition," which suggests that patients should not be regarded as objects but as human beings. In realizing this goal, it is important that technology is administered competently clarifying the nurse's intention to care, leading to the understanding of persons as continuously and dynamically changing beings.

Locsin [20, 21] further describes that nurses can understand patients by using technologies proficiently. There is a similarity in the contents of certain questions such as "assessing the present state of patients and continuously understanding the state of patients based upon the information obtained from technology", "holistically understand patients" and "empathize with what a patient is experiencing now." Moreover, the commonalities between TCCNI and PITCCN included the understanding of patients using information obtained through technologies in what Locsin [20, 21] has called technological encounter.

Nurses therefore must fully utilize not only the empirical knowledge obtained from technology and nurse them appropriately. In order to realize this, aesthetics, ethics, and personal knowing must be in simultaneous recognition as critical to knowing persons as caring persons. Nurses can share the experience of receiving medical treatments and nursing care with patients leading to a more holistic understanding of patients.

The TCCNI question item numbers (4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14 - 18, 20 and 25 as shown in Table 1) are items which view the nurse as the subject. Therefore, it is possible to measure the practice situation based on the nurse's TCCNI. Meanwhile, if a change of the subject in the question sentence is made, wherein question item numbers (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 19, 21, 22 and 24) can measure the practical situation based on the nurse's TCCN.

The four items/factors with the PITCCN which are different from those of the TCCNI, as shown in Table 2 are the actions for nurses to intentionally and continuously understand the patients and their family who are the recipients of care. These actions are the very practice of caring in nursing, and the nurses' actions to provide high-quality care is evident in their expressions technological competency as caring in nursing. Items in the TCCNI that did not match with those of PITCCN were those created based on the TCCNI's theoretical hypothesis of "nursing is a science and a professional practice." It was clear that the PITCCN is aimed at measuring technological competency as caring in practice.

As a limitation of the instruments, a ceiling effect was observed. In order to solve this problem, the question format of asking practical situation was considered useful rather than questions as a form of the ideal image of nursing as caring.

Conclusions

The nurse and persons being nursed altogether are known as participants in their care instead of as objects who need to be known. Different aspects of knowing persons as caring invoke pertinent actions thereby providing high-quality nursing care. It is deliberated that useful data supporting high quality practice with technologies providing high quality nursing is best declared through theory-based practice such those grounded in the middle-range theory of TCCN. This was well clarified using the PITCCN of Japan. This comparative research study provided support for implications on the utilization and transformation of education and research, from theory to practice utilizing continuing professional education and formal academic education fostering the demand for human caring in highly technological nursing care environments.

The future engagement of nursing in the technological world can be evaluated from the perspective of the nurse and the persons being nursed. Ultimately, findings of this conjoint nurse-focused and patient-focused instrument will be to deliver guiding propositions through continuing professional education. The PITCCN and the TCCNI together lead the way towards quality improvement of nursing and its practice.
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