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#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the problem asking if Kolmogorov-Arnold representation theorem, which has played so important roles in solving Hilbert's $13^{\text {th }}$ problem, can be applied to the theory of multidimensional numerical data compression, because the way of several time nested superposition being used in Kolmogorov-Arnold representation theorem is analogous to the way of construting a multidimensional numerical table with several fewer dimensional numerical tables. Exactly speaking, we discuss two versions of the original Hilbert's $13^{\text {th }}$ problem, which can be derived from the replacement of the condition of continuous functions with the condition of the functions being differentiabie in all directions.
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## Introduction

From the mathematical point of view, the dimension-reducing numerical data compression is to the theory of data compression what several time nested superposition is to Hilbert's $13^{\text {th }}$ problem [1, 2, 6, 8], If the solution to Hilbert's 13th problem is applied to continuous functions of two real variables, then it can be proved that there exists two families of five monotone-increasing continuous functions of one real variable $\left\{\phi_{i}(\cdot) ; 0 \leq i \leq 4\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{i}(\cdot) ; 0 \leq i \leq 4\right\}$ satisfying that, for any continuous function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ of two real variables, there exists an appropriate continuous function $g_{f}(\cdot)$ of one real variable, depending only on $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ and enabling $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be represented as the following:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{4} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in
$$

This resultant formula, which is called Kolmogorov-Arnold representation $[4,5,7]$, can derive another problem from itself, asking whether or not any continuous function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ of two real variables can be represented as more simplified formulae than the above formula such as the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \\
& f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, it is clear that we cannot find any monotoneincreasing continuous functions $\phi_{0}(\cdot)$ and $\psi_{0}(\cdot)$ satisfying that, for any continuous function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$, there exists an appropriate continuous function $g_{f}(\cdot)$ of one variable, depending only on $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ and enabling $\mathrm{f}(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be represented as the following:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g_{f}\left(\phi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{0}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

because, for any sufficiently small positive number $\Delta x_{1}$, there exists an appropriate positive number $\Delta x_{2}$ satisfying the following:

$$
\phi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{0}\left(x_{2}\right)=\phi_{0}\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}\right)+\psi_{0}\left(x_{2}-\Delta x_{2}\right)
$$

This equality implies that, for any function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$, the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =g_{f}\left(\phi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{o}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =g_{f}\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}\right)+\psi_{o}\left(x_{2}-\Delta x_{2}\right) \\
& =f\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}, x_{2}-\Delta x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, and eventually, the above equalities lead us to such a contradiction that, for any funition $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ of two variables, the value of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ under the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ should be equal to the value of $\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}, x_{2}-\Delta x_{2}\right)$ under tha same function.

Exactly speaking, When the differentiability condition is discussed in the teory of the functions of multivariables, this condition can be classifined into two more accurate differentiability ones, one of which is the differentiability in all directions and the other of which is the total differentiability. It is clear that, if any function of two variables is totally differentiable, then the function is necesarily differentiable in all directions. Actually, the converse implication does not hold. While the total differentiability plays so important roles in the theory of the functions of multivariables, the differentiability in all directions does the same important roles as the total differentiability in the theory of multidimensional numerical data compression.

In the former half of this paper and in the latter half, we discuss the problem asking whether Kolmogorov-Arnold representation theorem holds or not in case of the set of all one-time differentiable functions in all directions and in case of the set of all two-time differentiable functions in all directions, respectively, because the total differentiability condition, which has been usually assumed in the theory of the functions of multi-variables, is too strong to apply to the theory of multi-dimensional numerical data compuression.
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## The case of functions of two variables being one-time differentiable in all directions

In this section, we discuss the problem asking if a version of Kolmogorov-Arnold representation in case of functions of two variables which can be one time differentiable in all directions holds. This version can be formulated as the following:

Propositon 1. For any positive integer $n$, there does not exist $n$ pairs being composed of two strictly increasing one-time differentiable functions of one variable $\left\{\left\{\phi_{i}(\cdot), \psi_{i}(\cdot)\right\} ; 0 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ satisfying that,or any function of two variables $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ which can be one-time differentiable in all directions, there exists a one-time differentiable function $\mathrm{gf}(\cdot)$ of one variable enabling $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be represented as the following:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n=1} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. Under the assumptions stated in this proposition, we can obtain the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{f}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{1} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{f}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \psi_{i}^{\prime} x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Taylor expansion assures that, for any sufficiently small numbers $\Delta x_{1}$ and $\Delta x_{2}$, the following equalities hold:


Let $\theta_{0}$ be a positive number which is less than $\pi / 2$ satisfying $\cos \theta_{0}$ $=3 / 5$. Then, for any positive number $r$, we can obtain the following equality:

$$
f\left(r \cos \theta_{0}, r \sin \theta_{0}\right)=\frac{48 r}{125}
$$

Therefore, this equality derives to the following equality:

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(r \cos \theta_{0}, r \sin \theta_{0}\right)-f(0,0)}{r}=\frac{48}{125}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}, x_{2}+\Delta x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}+\Delta x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{f}\left(\left\{\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{\phi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \Delta x_{1}+\psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \Delta x_{2}\right\}+0\left(\left|\Delta x_{1}\right|+\left|\Delta x_{2}\right|\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+\left(\left\{\phi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \Delta x_{1}+\psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \Delta x_{2}\right\}+0\left(\left|\Delta x_{1}\right|+\left|\Delta x_{2}\right|\right)\right) \\
& \left.=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \Delta x_{1}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \Delta x_{2}+0\left(\left|\Delta x_{1}\right|+\left|\Delta x_{2}\right|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually, these equalities derive a contradiction that all the one time differentiable functions of two variables are totally differentiable. Therefore, we can conclude the proof.

Remark 2. Here is an example of the function which is not totally differentiable but differentiable in all directions. Let $f(,, \cdot)$ be the function which is defined as the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}},\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(0,0) \\
f(0,0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, the following equalities hold:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}=\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}=0
$$

We can display the graphical image of this function as the following:

This equality shows that the given function is not totally differentiable.

## The case of functions of two variables being two-time differentiable in all directions

Throughout this section, we use the same definitions and notations as used in the previous section, and we discuss the problem asking if a version of Kolmogorov-Arnold representation in case of two-time totally differentiable functions of two variables holds. This version can be formulated as the following:

Propositon 3. For any positive integer $n$, there does not exist $n$ pairs being composed of two strictly increasing two-time differentiable functions of one variable $\left\{\left\{\phi_{i}(\cdot), \psi_{i}(\cdot)\right\} ; 0 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ satisfying that, for any totally differentiable function of two variables $f(,, \cdot)$, there exists a two-time differentiable function of one variable enabling $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be represented as the following:
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$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{f}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Let $f(,, \cdot)$ be the function defined as the following:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{3}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}},\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(0,0), \\ f(0,0)=0 .\end{array}\right.$
Then, we can obtain the following partial differentials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}^{5}-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{3 x_{2}^{3} x_{2}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}^{4}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=0}=\lim _{\Delta x_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\Delta x_{1} x_{2}^{3}}{\Delta x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}-0}{\Delta x_{1}}=x_{2} \\
\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{2}=0}=\lim _{\Delta x_{2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{x_{1} \Delta x_{2}^{3}}{x_{1}^{2}+\Delta x_{2}^{2}}-0}{\Delta x_{2}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

These equalities imply the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\Delta x_{2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=\Delta x_{2}}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}}{\Delta x_{2}} & =\lim _{\Delta x_{2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta x_{2}-0}{\Delta x_{2}}=1 \\
\lim _{\Delta x_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left.\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}}{\Delta x_{1}} & =\lim _{\Delta x_{2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 \quad 0}{\Delta x_{1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

On the contrary, it follows from the assumptions used in Proposition 3 that we can obtain the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & =\sum_{i=0}^{n=1} g_{f}^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi_{i}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \phi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually, the above equalities conclude the following contradiction:

$$
1=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0}=0
$$

Remark 4. We can display the graphical image of the function used in Proposition 3 as the following:


Figure 2. Graphic image of $z=\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{3}}{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}$

## Conclusions

If there exists an algorithm which enables two dimensional numerical data to be compressed into several one dimensional numerical sequences, then the algorithm would contribute to saving the memory area of all the computers, whether its way of reproduction is invertible or non-invertible. Actually, Hilbert's $13^{\text {th }}$ problem may tell us that it seems to be difficult to develop such a skill as dimensionreducing numerical data compression.
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